GOLD RECOVERY FROM WASTE FINE CARBON USING ACETONE AS SOLVENT (AMESMESSA GOLD MINE, ALGERIA)

Purpose. The aim of this work at the first stage is to develop a new method to recover gold from waste fine loaded activated carbon. At the second stage, a new method is to be developed using less energy and less hazardous chemicals to recover gold from fine and coarse activated carbon in all the process of gold ore treatment.

Methodology. The desorption of gold cyanide from the waste fine loaded activated carbon was processed by agitating the carbon on alkaline aqueous solution containing 20 % (v/v) of acetone (pH between 10 and 13) at room temperature.

Findings. The application of the proposed method gave satisfactory results in terms of process efficiency, the time of operation and no use of hazardous chemicals such as cyanide. The efficiency is more than 96 %, the resident time – less than 3 h. As for the hazardous chemicals, there is no use of cyanide unlike in the conventional process.

Originality. The use of acetone as an organic solvent makes the gold cyanide desorption from activated carbon operated at room temperature and in short time better than any other conventional process.

Practical value. This method allows to recover easily and economically the gold entrapped into waste activated carbon existing in a mining plant at room temperature in an agitating tank, pH = 10–13 with an efficiency over 96 %. This method can be a good alternative for all gold recovery processes from activated carbon used nowadays.
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Introduction. The most widely used process, nowadays, to extract gold from ores is leaching by cyanide. This method includes two stages: extraction and recovery. At the first stage, the gold contained in ore dissolves in cyanide aqueous solution by complexation forming a pregnant solution from which gold will later be extracted. As demonstrated below by the equation of the gold dissolution [1–4].

\[
4Au(s) + 8CN^- (aq) + O_2 + 2H_2O(l) \rightarrow 4Au(CN)_2^-(aq) + 4OH^- (aq)
\]  

At the second stage, the complex ions Au(CN)\(_2\)^- in solution adsorbed into activated carbon then desorbed in solution and the Gold Au was recovered by electrolysis. Adsorption via activated carbon is the main industrial procedure for Au(CN)\(_2\)^- recovery because of the high efficiency, abundant resources, and economic advantages [1, 5, 6].

\[
\text{Mn}^+ [\text{Au(CN)}_2\text{(ads)}] \rightarrow n\text{Au} \hspace{0.1cm} (\text{CN})_2^- + \text{Mn}^+
\]

The desorption or elution of gold is one of the principal unit processes in the CIP plant for the recovery of gold. Commercially, there are four main types of elution of gold from activated carbon: the Zadra procedure, the Anglo-American research laboratory (AARL) procedure, organic elution, and micron elution [7, 8].

In the Zadra elution process, a hot solution of 1 % weight/volume (w/v) sodium hydroxide and 0.2 % (w/v) sodium cyanide are recycled through a gold cyanide-loaded activated carbon bed for up to 72 h at 95–100 °C and atmospheric pressure to desorb Au(CN)\(_2\)^-. More recently a modified Zadra procedure operating at 140 °C in a pressured system has reduced the elution time to 10–12 h [7, 8].

In the AARL process, there are three main steps: a hot acid pretreatment step of 30 min and afterward elution with hot de-ionized water (100–120 °C) at high pressure (200–300 Kpa) [7–9]. In organic elution or alcohol stripping, a solution of 1 % NaOH and 0.1 NaCN mixed with aqueous organic solvent such as ethanol or methanol is used [6].

In the micron elution, loaded carbon is soaked in a caustic cyanide solution followed by eluting gold with acetonitrile, ethanol, or methanol vapor at 65–80 °C. Elution is completed in 4–6 h [8]. Some research publications have been directed toward the development of gold elution procedures that employ organic solvent such as acetone. The aqueous acetone elution in the presence of cyanide and at room temperature was described by (Muir and all), first time in 1985. The efficiency was 70 % after 24 h. After that, in 1988, Espiell and Roca studied the desorption of gold cyanide adsorbed into activated carbon using a mixture of dilute NaOH and different organic solvents; the desorption was performed in stirring flask, the highest gold desorption rate was obtained with water/acetone/OH- [6, 7]. In 1992, Vegter and Sandenbergh used an aqueous caustic cyanide solution in the absence of cyanide; high rate of elution was obtained within two hours at 50 °C [6, 10]. Later in 2008, Soleimani and Kaghazchi [7] used a different solvent such as acetone and acetonitrile to desorb gold from granular activated carbon. The rate of desorption is higher than 80 % in less than 2 h, using 40 % of organic solvent, at ambient temperature, and in the presence of cyanide.

Waste loaded fine AC typically <20 mesh (84 μm), can be lost in filtration steps and up in the process tailing. A survey of 36 mines found that 0.11 to 0.14 kg of Au per ton of AC remains unrecovered, with up to 0.525 kg Au per ton AC in other individual cases [11].

Incineration is the process used, nowadays, to recover the remaining gold in waste fine AC. It is used to reduce the volume of the waste carbon as ash contains a nanoparticle of an electrum of gold and silver. The resultant metals can be recovered by many processes: smelting and gravity concentration on chemical leaching. The incineration and smelting need high energy, the gravity concentration process is very low [12]. For that raison, we need to investigate a new method to recover those precious metals from waste fine-loaded activated carbon.
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This paper presents the results obtained in gold desorption using a mixture of acetone and caustic soda in the absence of cyanide at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Different parameters were investigated such as acetone concentration, temperature, particle size of carbon, initial gold concentration in carbon and solution, carbon concentration, pH, and agitation speed.

Materials and methods. Site description and waste sample characterization. The samples of fine waste-loaded carbon; the subject of our study have been taken from the waste dump of the gold process plant in Amessmessa gold mine.

The Amessmessa gold mine is situated in the south of Algeria, 2,460 km south of the capital Algiers, and about 640 km in the south west of the city of Tamanrasset.

Many samples were analyzed by the Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) to determine the grade of gold. The mean value of gold in the waste carbon is 696 mg Au/kg (carbon).

X-ray analysis presented in Fig. 1 shows that, in addition to gold and silver, many other elements were adsorbed, during the process of gold ore treatment, by the waste fine and still entrapped into it. Such as silica, calcite, litharge, hematite..., etc.

Those elements are presented in Table 1. They are not subjects in this study. The desorption data presented later in Table 2 shows that 88 % of particles are less than 1 mm in size.

Reagents and materials. In the experiments, industrial pure activated carbon, Norit GCN612G, supplied by Cabot Corporation, was used for the measurement of pH and temperature. All tests were conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

The analytical reagents used in the preparation of NaAu(CN)₂ from metallic Au are HCl (34 %), NaCN (98 %), HNO₃ (67 %) and NaOH (98 %).

The batch desorption experiments were performed in a magnetic stirrer, type Henna HI310, at a speed of 300 rpm, 1,000 ml beaker was used. A pH meter, type Thermo-electron corporation, was used for the measurement of pH and temperature. All tests were conducted at room temperature.

Agilent AA spectrometer is used for the determination of gold concentration in solution and carbon.

Preparation of Au(CN)₂ solution. The preparation of stock solution 1000 ppm of Au(CN)₂ was performed according to the Rajasingam method [10]. A solution of 1,000 ppm of Au(CN)₂ was prepared by dissolving 1 g of metallic gold (99.99 %) in 1,000 ml deionized water containing excess concentration of cyanide. The pH was adjusted by caustic soda at pH > 10.

The batch desorption experiments were performed in a magnetic stirrer, type Henna HI310, at a speed of 300 rpm, 1,000 ml beaker was used. A pH meter, type Thermo-electron corporation, was used for the measurement of pH and temperature. All tests were conducted at room temperature.

Agilent AA spectrometer is used for the determination of gold concentration in solution and carbon.

Finally, the concentration of this solution was checked by AAS (NovAA 800).

Loading of NaAu(CN)₂ into activated carbon. The 1 kg of virgin carbon was attritionated for 24 h and wet screened at plus 2 mm. This amount of carbon will be used as stock to prepare many samples of loaded carbon with different gold concentrations.

Five samples of 50 g each one from the stock carbon were exposed to different concentrations of gold solution Au(CN)₂ to get five samples of loaded carbon (0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 mg/kg; mg Au/kg carbon). The solution and carbon were kept in agitation for 12 h. After that, each sample of carbon will be screened and dried in an oven at 150 °C for 2 h.

Desorption experiments. Desorption of dicyanide of Gold from activated carbon was examined first by sorbing it into activated carbon at pH = 12 and then desorbing it in an aqueous solution containing acetone as follows:

Batch desorption experiments were carried out in a 1,000 ml beaker equipped with a stirring device when 500 ml of eluant solution (distilled water + acetone + NaOH) and 2.5 g of loaded carbon were put in contact for a minimum of 6 h. Different carbon concentrations (from 2 to 20 g/l), different loaded carbon initial concentrations (Au from 0.5 to 10 mg/kg), different acetone concentrations (from 10 to 40 %), pH (from 10 to 13), and different sizes of carbon were used to investigate the influence of each parameter. All tests were conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase name</th>
<th>Content, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>47(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>35(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon (II) oxide</td>
<td>0.1(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>0.50(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.73(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>1.28(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>3.19(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO (OH)</td>
<td>4.2(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuestite</td>
<td>3.8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litharge</td>
<td>0.17(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenorite</td>
<td>3.36(19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen opening, μm</th>
<th>Average particle size, μm</th>
<th>Weight fraction retained, %</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cumulative retained, %</th>
<th>Cumulative sieved, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>88.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[710, 1000</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>64.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[425,710]</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>32.67</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>48.59</td>
<td>51.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[250,425]</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>26.14</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>59.10</td>
<td>40.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[106,250]</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>73.52</td>
<td>26.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[75,106]</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45,75]</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>94.09</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.711</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen opening, μm</th>
<th>Average particle size, μm</th>
<th>Weight fraction retained, %</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cumulative retained, %</th>
<th>Cumulative sieved, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>88.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[710, 1000]</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>64.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[425,710]</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>32.67</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>48.59</td>
<td>51.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[250,425]</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>26.14</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>59.10</td>
<td>40.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[106,250]</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>73.52</td>
<td>26.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[75,106]</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45,75]</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>94.09</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.711</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Fig. 1. X-ray plot of waste fine loaded carbon
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Results and discussion. **Materiel and characterization.** The BET surface area of used activated carbon is 985.32 m²/g and the BJH average desorption pore width is 3.8 nm. These two parameters were determined by nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherm at 77 K using the BET equation and the density functional theory. The pH is 9.30 and the pzc is 8.09 as shown below in Table 3.

**Gold sorption and desorption kinetics.** The kinetics of the sorption and desorption were analyzed using the two kinetic models: pseudo–first order and pseudo–second order. The mathematical expressions are:

1. **Sorption kinetics.** Pseudo–first order: The adsorption kinetic was studied with the empirical rate equation of Lagergren [13–16]. Its differential form is

\[
\frac{dq_a}{dt} = k_1(q_{ao} - q_a).
\]

(3)

When solving equation (3) with boundary conditions \( t = 0 \) to \( t = t \) and \( q_a = 0 \) to \( q_a = q_e \) we get the linear equation (4)

\[
\ln(q_{ao} - q_a) = \ln q_{ao} - k_1t.
\]

(4)

The value of \( q_e \) and \( k_1 \) are determined by applying this common accepted linear equation.

2. **Pseudo–second order.** The most commonly used equation of pseudo second order presented by Ho and al is used here [15, 18].

The equation has the following form

\[
\frac{dq_a}{dt} = k_2(q_{ao} - q_a)^2,
\]

integrating this for the boundary conditions \( t = 0 \) to \( t = t \) and \( q_a = 0 \) to \( q_a = q_e \), gives this linear form

\[
\frac{t}{q_a} = \frac{1}{k_2q_{ao}^2} + \frac{t}{q_{ao}}.
\]

(6)

when \( q_a = \frac{(C_{ao} - C_e)V}{m} \).

2. **Desorption kinetics.** Based on the above representations the desorption equations will be as below [19]:

- pseudo–first order

\[
\ln(q_{ao} - q_d) = \ln(q_{ao} - q_d) - k_1d^t.
\]

(7)

- pseudo–second order

\[
\frac{1}{q_d - q_{ao}} = \frac{1}{q_{ao} - q_d} + k_2d^t,
\]

(8)

when \( q_d = q_{ao} - \frac{C_dV}{m} \).

\( q_e \) is the loading at time \( t \); \( q_a \) is the equilibrate loading for sorbate or sorbing; \( q_0 \) is the initial loading at \( t = 0 \).

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Virgin activated carbon properties} & \text{Used activated carbon properties} & \text{Value} \\
\hline
\text{pH} & \text{pH}_{50} & 9.30 \text{ and 8.09} \\
\text{BET surface area, m}^2/\text{g} & \text{Micropore area, m}^2/\text{g} & 985.32 \text{ and 688.26} \\
\text{External surface area, m}^2/\text{g} & \text{Micro–pore volume, m}^3/\text{g} & 297.06 \text{ and 0.343887} \\
\text{Median pore volume, nm} & \text{BJH adsorption average pore width, nm} & 0.4721 \text{ and 4.4826} \\
\text{BJH desorption average pore width, nm} & \text{BJH desorption average pore width, nm} & 3.8034 \text{ and 3.8034} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\( \frac{d}{dt} \) is the rate constant for the first–order model; \( k_2 \) is the rate constant for second–order model; \( t \) is time; \( C_o \) is the initial Au concentration of solution; \( C_i \) is the Au solution concentration at time \( t \); \( V \) is the volume of solution; \( m \) is the carbon mass.

The results in Figs. 2 and 3 show that the equilibrium time using 20% of acetone in the stripping solution for fine carbon less than 100 µm is less than 5 min. for the coarse carbon, the equilibrium is less than 1 hour when \( T > 32 \text{ °C} \) and less than 2 h when \( T < 32 \text{ °C} \).

The results of calculated kinetic parameters in Tables 4 and 5 show that the data fit better with the pseudo–second–order model for both process adsorption and desorption. Chen Yolong and Vences–Alvarez [4,16] found that for the adsorption fit well with the pseudo–second–order model.

**Equilibrium Isotherms models.** The adsorption–desorption of gold cyanide on carbon has been studied by Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm, when the Freundlich equation (9) and Langmuir equation shown in equations (9 and 10) were used. The Langmuir isotherm fits better than the Freundlich isotherm. The linear equations are as below:

1. **Freundlich**

\[
\ln(q_e) = \ln(k_f) + \frac{1}{n}\ln(C_i).
\]

(9)

2. **Langmuir**

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_mk_f} + \frac{C_i}{q_m},
\]

(10)

where \( q_o \) (mg/g) is the theoretical monolayer saturation capacity or maximum adsorption, and \( k_f \) (l/mg) is the Langmuir rate constant for the first–order model; \( k_2 \) is the rate constant for second–order model; \( t \) is time; \( C_o \) is the initial Au concentration of solution; \( C_i \) is the Au solution concentration at time \( t \); \( V \) is the volume of solution; \( m \) is the carbon mass.

\[\text{Fig. 2. Effect of acetone/water rate, Coarse carbon:}\]

\[\text{T = 32–35 °C; Agitation 300 tr/min; C = 5 g/l; pH = 13; Q_{Au} = 5 mg/g; Carbon size 2–4 mm}\]

\[\text{Fig. 3. Effect of acetone/water rate, Fine carbon:}\]

\[\text{T = 30–35 °C; Agitation 300 tr/min; Q_{Au} = 10 mg/g; pH = 13; C Carbon = 5 g/l, Carbon size < 100 µm}\]
Adsorption data of kinetic parameters
(Particle size [2; 4] mm, T = 27 °C, pH = 10; Cc = 1 g/l)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-order kinetic model</th>
<th>C/Au, mgAu/l</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k1, 10⁻³, min⁻¹</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qe, mgAu/g C</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qe, exp, mg/g</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>22.78</td>
<td>28.77</td>
<td>36.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second-order kinetic model</th>
<th>C/Au, mgAu/l</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k1, 10⁻³, mg⁻¹.min⁻¹</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qe, mgAu/g C</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qe, exp, mg/g</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>22.78</td>
<td>28.77</td>
<td>36.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Desorption Data of kinetic parameters
(Particle size [2; 4] mm, T = 31 °C, pH = 13; Cc = 5 g/l)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudo first order</th>
<th>Qe, mg/g</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k1, min⁻¹</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qe, exp, mg/g</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudo second order</th>
<th>Qe, mg/g</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k2, mg⁻¹.min⁻¹</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qe, exp, mg/g</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Table 5

Adsorption isotherm parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>24.2</th>
<th>25.8</th>
<th>31.6</th>
<th>35.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kf, l/mg</td>
<td>17.52</td>
<td>18.78</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>14.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.9087</td>
<td>0.9113</td>
<td>0.9570</td>
<td>0.9772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Langmuir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qe, mg/g</th>
<th>60.24</th>
<th>58.14</th>
<th>59.17</th>
<th>57.80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kf, l/mg</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
<td>0.9943</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.9938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6

Desorption isotherm parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kf, l/mg</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Langmuir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qe, mg/g</th>
<th>1.07</th>
<th>1.15</th>
<th>0.96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kf, l/mg</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7

constant related to the energy sorption. The k1 (l/mg) and 1/n are the Freundlich constants related to the sorption capacity and sorption intensity respectively. The parameter values of adsorption isotherm and desorption isotherm are shown in Tables 4 and 7 below.

Factors affecting desorption. Effect of organic solvent/water ration. Results with varying acetone concentrations are shown in Figs. 1 and 3. These two figures show that more than 93 % of gold is recovered from loaded carbon using only 20 % of acetone in solution at room temperature between 30 and 35 °C, pH = 13. The equilibrium time is less than 1 min for fine carbon (size = 0.100, 2000) μm, and less than 1 hour for coarse carbon (size = 100, 2000 μm). So, NaOH is required for the absence of NaOH in the solution reduces the rate by 30 %.

Effect of particle size of carbon. The experiment was performed with different carbon particle sizes intervals [0.100, 200] μm, 100, 425 μm, 425 μm, 1 mm, 1, 2 mm. The results in Fig. 4 show that the desorption rate increases inversely with the size of particles. The best rate is reached with carbon particle size under 100 μm in a very short time, less than 5 min. It is expected that the size of particles will have a high effect because the contact between the eluant solution and the carbon particle increases when the particle size decreases. Ubaldini confirms in his study of the AARL elution process [6] that the desorption rate for powder carbon is much higher than for coarse one.

Effect of carbon concentration in solution. This experiment was carried out with different concentrations of carbon in solution of aurocyanide and in consequence favored desorption [1]. This result is in accordance with the study of Ubaldini [6], when he confirmed that the absence of NaOH in the solution reduces the rate by 30 %.

Table 4

Table 5

Table 6

Table 7

Fig. 4. pH effect: T = 26.5–35 °C; Agitation 300 tr/m; QoAU = 1 mg/g; Acetone 20 %; CC5 g/l
Four values of concentration were used: 3, 5, 10 and 15 g (g of carbon/l solution). The results in Fig. 6 show that the rate of desorption increases when the concentration decreases. The best rate is with a concentration of 3 g/l. However, it looks like the effect is not significant except for the concentration of 15 g/l. It is obvious that over this concentration the desorption rate will decrease.

**Effect of temperature.** The effect of temperature was tested in three temperature values: 26, 31 and 34 °C. The results in Fig. 7 show the efficiency of the operation increases when temperature increases. Above 34 °C the percentage of desorption goes up more than 90 %. Espiell, Van Deventer and Snyders [9], all found that the temperature is a significant factor that affects the gold desorption from activated carbon. The adsorption of gold onto activated carbon is an exothermic process; for that reason an increase in temperature will shift the equilibrium toward the desorption.

**Effect of agitation.** It was studied using coarse carbon under optimal variables of pH, temperature, acetone ..., etc. The stirring speed has been varied three times: 100, 300 and 1,000 tr/min. Fig. 8 shows that the desorption rate changes slightly when there is little change in agitation. It looks like there is no significant change between 100 and 300 tr/min, but with 1,000 tr/min the rate increases by 5 %.

**Effect of initial concentration of gold in carbon.** To show the effect of the initial concentration of gold in carbon, the test was performed with four values: $Q_0^{Au} = 0.5, 1, 5$ and 10 mg/g. The results are illustrated in Fig. 9. It shows that the desorption rate is more than 80 % with 1 and 5 mg/g, more than 90 % with 10 mg/g, and with 0.5 mg/g the rate is under 70 %. It is obvious that for low values of initial carbon-gold-loading, the desorption rate cannot be high.

Many samples from authentic waste fine carbon of the Ames-messa gold mine were taken and tested using optimal parameters of pH, temperature, agitation ..., etc. Fig. 10 shows that the desorption rate is about 88 % in 30 min resident time. This rate can be increased if the time of operation was extended to one hour, or if the carbon samples are pretreated with hydrochloric acid.
in gold ore treatment. Nowadays, activated carbon is used for other processes. It can be used for fine carbon.

5. This method can be used to recover other precious metals such as silver, platinum, etc. or even base metals such as copper, nickel, etc.

7. This can be a good alternative to carbon burning used nowadays.

We suggest using this method followed by electrolysis or by zinc or alum precipitation to recover gold cyanide from activated carbon used nowadays in gold ore treatment.
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Мета. Метою цієї роботи на першому етапі є розробка нового методу вилучення золота з відходів дрібнодисперсного насиченого активованого вугілля. На другому етапі – розробити новий метод з використанням меншої кількості енергії та менш небезпечних хімічних речовин для вилучення золота з дрібнодисперсного й необробленого активованого вугілля під час усього процесу обробки золотої руди.

Методика. Десорбцію ціаністого золота з відходів дрібнодисперсного насиченого активованого вугілля проводили шляхом перемішування вугілля з водою на сумішному водному розчині, що містить 20 % (об./об.) ацетону (рН в межах 10–13), за кімнатної температури.

Результати. Застосування запропонованого способу дало задовільні результати з точки зору ефективності процесу, часу виконання операції і відсутності необхідності використання шкідливих хімічних речовин, таких як ціанід. Ефективність становить понад 96 %, час виконання менше 3 годин. Щодо небезпечних хімічних речовин – ціанід не використовується, на відміну від традиційних процесів.

Наукова новизна. Використання ацетону в якості органічного розчинника сприяє десорбції ціаністого золота з активованого вугілля за кімнатної температури та за короткий час краще, ніж будь-який інший традиційний процес.

Практична значимість. Цей метод дозволяє легко та економічно вилучати золото, що міститься у відходах активованого вугілля, яке знаходиться на гірничодобувній установці за кімнатної температури в резервуарі для перемішування, pH = 10–13 з ефективністю понад 96 %. Цей метод може бути гарною альтернативою для всіх процесів вилучення золота з активованого вугілля, що використовуються сьогодні.

Ключові слова: активоване вугілля, сорбція та десорбція золота, видобуток золота, елюювання органічного золота
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